# Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHEDRICK L. HENRY,

Petitioner,

v.

M. E. SPEARMAN,

Respondent.

Case No.16-cv-04504-JD

# ORDER FOR RESPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE

Shedrick Henry, a California prisoner, filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has paid the filing fee. Petitioner was convicted while in prison in Monterey County, which is in this district, so venue is proper here. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

### **BACKGROUND**

Henry pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance while in prison and was sentenced to 25 years to life pursuant to California's Three Strikes law. He states that his appeals and state habeas petitions were denied.

### **DISCUSSION**

### STANDARD OF REVIEW

This Court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975). Habeas corpus petitions must meet heightened pleading requirements. McFarland v. Scott, 512 U.S. 849, 856 (1994). An application for a federal writ of habeas corpus filed by a prisoner who is in state custody pursuant to a judgment of a state court

must "specify all the grounds for relief available to the petitioner ... [and] state the facts supporting each ground." Rule 2(c) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C. § 2254. "[N]otice' pleading is not sufficient, for the petition is expected to state facts that point to a 'real possibility of constitutional error." Rule 4 Advisory Committee Notes (quoting *Aubut v. Maine*, 431 F.2d 688, 689 (1st Cir. 1970)).

### LEGAL CLAIMS

As grounds for federal habeas relief, Henry asserts that: (1) his sentence violates the Eighth Amendment; (2) he received ineffective assistance of counsel with respect to the plea agreement which resulted in a plea that was not knowing and intelligent; and (3) there was an Equal Protection violation. These claims are sufficient to require a response.

### CONCLUSION

- 1. The clerk shall serve by regular mail a copy of this order and the petition and all attachments thereto on respondent and respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on petitioner.
- 2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on petitioner, within fifty-six (56) days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on respondent within twenty-eight (28) days of his receipt of the answer.

- 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If respondent files such a motion, it is due fifty-six (56) days from the date this order is entered. If a motion is filed, petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within twenty-eight (28) days of receipt of the motion, and respondent shall file with the Court and serve on petitioner a reply within fourteen (14) days of receipt of any opposition.
- 4. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court must be served on respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep

### Case 3:16-cv-04504-JD Document 8 Filed 11/16/16 Page 3 of 4

	2
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25

26

27

28

United States District Court

1

the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely
fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant
to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Martinez v. Johnson, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir.
1997) (Rule 41(b) applicable in habeas cases).

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 16, 2016

JAMES DONATO United States District Judge

### Case 3:16-cv-04504-JD Document 8 Filed 11/16/16 Page 4 of 4

## 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Northern District of California United States District Court 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

1

2

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHEDRICK L. HENRY,
Plaintiff,

Case No. <u>16-cv-04504-JD</u>

v.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

M. E. SPEARMAN,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on November 16, 2016, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Shedrick L. Henry High Desert State Prison K-16736 P.O. Box 3030 Susanville, CA 96127

Dated: November 16, 2016

Susan Y. Soong Clerk, United States District Court

By: LISA R. CLARK, Deputy Clerk to the Honorable JAMES DONATO